ENOUGH ALREADY! It's bad enough you're trying to "clean-up" the public airways with selective enforcement (Howard Stern/Oprah, salad tossing incident amongst others), but now when a legitimate alternative to broadcast radio comes around you want ruin it too! I have Directv and local information can be delivered to me via that...what's the difference. I understand the radio stations are upset because their hands are pretty much tied with regards to the content they can broadcast while satellite radio isn't, but that's no reason to limit the services that satellite radio could provide. How 'bout loosening the ropes that bind the hands of the radio stations so they can better compete with the satellite companies rather than try to drag the satellite companies down to the same level as them. Besides what ever happened to letting the market place decide. Let the satellite companies offer these additional services and let the market decide what is good. If you really want to help the radio stations why don't you let the radio stations know what exactly is indecent (maybe some exact, detailed, written rules that would be black and white rather than, "Oh well we'll know it when we hear it!") I know there's the seven words you can't say those are cut and dry, but to say some ideas push the limits or cross the line, then I think you should make the line a little easier to see or preferable get rid of it all together and just stick to the few bad words! Then maybe they could figure out how to produce a better product to compete with satellite companies...oops, I forgot they still have commercials to deal with no wonder thay 're upset! I bought satellite radio because it doesn't have the commercials or the censorship of broadcast radio (not to mention they play and provide a better selection of music). So why can't I as a paying customer be allowed to buy better content if I so choose? As I recall you also tried to fight a similar thing with satellite TV and last time I checked I get all the local TV channels. It's okay to get this information for free but not okay to pay for acess to this information...doesn't seem right to me.thanks, scott lambert